

UPDATED
INCLUDES NEW LEADER OF NATIONAL PARTY

ELECTION 2020

This year it's a matter of life and death.
Your votes will literally change lives.

ABORTION EUTHANASIA CANNABIS WHAT NEXT?

As you prepare to vote in the upcoming General Election and referendums, this resource will help you vote with your values.

Also available online
ValueYourVote.nz

**FAMILY
FIRST**
WHANAU TAHI AOTEAROA
NEW ZEALAND

Family First NZ is pleased to present the 2020 *Value Your Vote* resource for families.



Welcome to our resource **Value Your Vote 2020**. This is the fifth election where we have provided this popular voting resource for families.

The 2020 election is unique in that we not only have two votes under the MMP system, the **party vote** and the **electorate vote**, we also get another two votes: on whether **euthanasia** and **cannabis** should be legalised in New Zealand.

Your votes will literally change lives.

We believe that economy, education, health, housing, and law and order are significant. Our response to the health and economic threats of COVID-19 have been especially important this year. But focusing on economics and other issues while ignoring social values will actually make society's present problems worse in the long term, not better.

Research proves that the strength of marriage and family, along with respect for life and the protection of our most vulnerable, has a major impact on the strength of a nation: lowering the rates of child poverty, child abuse, imprisonment, mental health and the costs of welfare - and producing an ordered, civil society.

Over the past two decades, there have been a number of law changes voted on by our politicians which specifically impacted the welfare of New Zealand families. Marriage and the role of parents have been increasingly devalued, and the respect for life and health has never been more at risk.

This brochure (and the accompanying guide on our website valueyourvote.nz) does two things:

1. It allows you to see how each MP, including each party leader, has voted on important social issues.

Many of them are conscience votes, allowing an MP to vote according to his or her conscience rather than along party lines. However, in many cases, there seems to be a 'party conscience'.

2. It explains the reasons why a NO-vote in both the referendum on cannabis and the referendum on euthanasia is the **only** option. There is also a summary of why the new abortion law should never have been passed.

VOTING ACCORDING TO OUR VALUES IS THE GREATEST FREEDOM AND PRIVILEGE WE HAVE. WE SHOULD VALUE IT – AND USE IT!

Please note that Family First New Zealand does not endorse or oppose candidates or parties for elective office. This record should not take the place of your own effort to evaluate parties and candidates. We would encourage all voters to make informed decisions on party policies across key issues.

We are pleased to aid you in making an informed decision when you vote this September.

Bob McCoskrie
National Director - Family First NZ

Want more copies? To order more for your group...

ORDER NOW

>>go to: bit.ly/valueyourvote
NO COST. But a donation is optional (and appreciated)

VALUEYOURVOTE 2020 is also available online
ValueYourVote.nz

What have been the key family issues voted on?

In order of voting record shown on pages 6-9

MARRIAGE

SAME-SEX MARRIAGE: 2013



For millions of people worldwide, marriage is a culturally-significant, historically-bound institution. But a majority of politicians chose to reject the obvious cultural and natural characteristics of

marriage, and the subsequent creation and care of children, and made marriage just about partnership. The Marriage (Definition of Marriage) Amendment Act was an act of cultural vandalism. The equality cause is not advanced by destroying institutions. Equality should respect difference, not destroy it.



READ MORE – [ProtectMarriage.nz](https://www.protectmarriage.nz)

SAME-SEX MARRIAGE – FREEDOM OF CONSCIENCE: 2013



Under the same-sex marriage legislation, it is unlawful for churches, mosques and synagogues to refuse to host same-sex marriages if the building is normally made available to the public. While the bill was being debated, a majority of

MPs voted down an amendment which would uphold the right of individual celebrants and registrars to refuse to marry a same-sex couple. Since the law change, some wedding facilities have been pressured to change their policies, or have stopped making their facilities available to the public, to avoid possible prosecution. Some marriage celebrant applicants have been turned down due to their personal convictions on the definition of marriage, despite assurances from politicians that this would not happen.



DEFINITION OF MARRIAGE: 2005



In 2005, the Marriage (Gender Clarification) Amendment Bill attempted to clearly define and confirm marriage as a union between one man and one woman, in accordance with the common law understanding of marriage. The bill was defeated.



EUTHANASIA

ASSISTED SUICIDE / EUTHANASIA: 2019, 2003



In 2019, a majority of MPs voted for a private members bill from ACT MP David Seymour which legalised euthanasia and assisted suicide. Many MPs had to 'hold their noses' and vote for a referendum to be held to approve this law change – despite

opposing other referendums on important social issues - in order for the bill to be passed. The referendum will be held at the same time as the General Election this year. Amendments to allow for full freedom of conscience provisions for health professionals, and a mandatory one-week cooling-off period, were both defeated. In 2003, a



READ MORE – “20 Reasons to Vote NO to Euthanasia in 2020” – pages 14-17 of this booklet

MARIJUANA & OTHER DRUGS

LEGALISING CANNABIS FOR RECREATIONAL USE: 2020



As part of the coalition agreement between Labour and the Greens, a referendum on legalising marijuana will be held at the same time as the General Election this year. [We asked every MP how they would vote in the upcoming cannabis

referendum. For MPs who didn't respond, we have also looked at public statements they may have made. These are noted with a * in the voting record pages 6-9.]



READ MORE – “20 Reasons to Vote NO to Cannabis in 2020” – pages 10-13 of this booklet

DECRIMINALISING ALL DRUGS: 2019



The Misuse of Drugs Amendment Act 2019 was introduced primarily to provide police with additional powers to target the manufacturers and suppliers of synthetic drugs, which have caused significant societal harm and many deaths.

However, the Government also used the bill to introduce what the Law Society and the Police Association labelled 'a de-facto decriminalisation' of not just cannabis, but *all* drugs – P, heroin and cocaine. The NZ Police said that discretion was already being used by the Police on a daily basis, including “the use of alternative resolution options including pre-charge warnings, Te Pae Oranga, and referrals to health and other support services” and that their focus “continues to be on targeting the organised criminal networks who supply these harmful drugs to our communities. However, the possession and use of illicit drugs remains illegal and prosecution remains an option in order to prevent harm and keep people safe.” At the same time as the public are about to vote on whether we should legalise cannabis, the Government is telling police not to prosecute people buying and using hard drugs.



[It is acknowledged in our record that at the 11th hour, NZ First was able to force the Government to tighten the discretion in favour of the ‘public interest’ when determining whether to prosecute.]

MEDICINAL MARIJUANA: 2018



In 2018, the Government introduced legislation to make medicinal cannabis products more accessible. Regulations to support that Bill came into force on 1 April 2020, meaning approved cannabis-based medicines can now be prescribed by

any medical practitioner. The NZ Drug Foundation said it was a “win for patients”. National proposed an alternative bill, setting out a much more detailed regulatory regime which would allow patients to buy cannabis products from pharmacists, and would not allow for any loose-leaf smoking. While Family First agreed with the concerns expressed by National around loose-leaf smoking – it is clearly not a medicine - we do support the expansion of further quality research into the components of the marijuana plant for delivery via non-smoked forms. Medicinal marijuana should be tested and supervised (and funded) like any other medicine – but not used as a smokescreen for recreational use. **We should keep marijuana medical.** If the current medicinal cannabis regime is falling short, we should fix that legislation, but that does not mean we should legalise it for recreational use.



In 2018, prior to the introduction of the government bill, Green MP Chloe Swarbrick's (previously Julie Anne Genter's) private member's bill was effectively a grow-your-own-dope-medicine bill, with very little control or safeguards. It was rejected by the majority of MPs.



READ MORE – [SayNoToDope.org.nz/medicinal](https://www.saynoetodope.org.nz/medicinal)

What have been the key family issues voted on?

In order of voting record shown on pages 6-9

ABORTION

ABORTION LEGISLATION BILL: 2020



The new abortion law – championed by Jacinda Ardern during the 2017 election campaign and passed this year – means that New Zealand now has one of the most extreme abortion laws in the world. A number of

amendments designed to remove some of the extreme aspects of the law were all rejected by a majority of MPs.



READ MORE – “Fatal Flaws – The Abortion Legislation Act 2020” – pages 18-19 of this booklet

THE ROLE OF PARENTS

PARENTAL NOTIFICATION FOR TEEN ABORTIONS: 2004, 2020



Currently, girls under the age of 16 can have an abortion without their parents being informed. In 2004, a law-change proposed to prevent this was defeated. During the debate on the new 2020 abortion law (*referred to above*), an amendment which would have seen abortion for minors treated like any other health procedure was again defeated. In all other health contexts, the health practitioner is required to assess the child's capacity prior to a medical procedure and, where capacity is lacking, obtain the consent of a parent or guardian. It is ironic that abortion advocates want abortion to be treated as a 'health issue' – but not when it involves a teenager seeking an abortion.



READ MORE – LoveThemBoth.nz

ANTI-SMACKING LAW: 2007



Section 59 of the Crimes Act was amended in 2007, removing legal protection from parents who exercise discipline over their children in the form of reasonable physical punishment – despite the fact 87% New

Zealanders opposed it in a subsequent referendum. Most social indicators around the welfare of children have continued to worsen since the law change, proving we are not tackling the real causes of child abuse. The amendment makes parents who engage in reasonable correction of their children liable for prosecution and unwarranted intervention by police and Oranga Tamariki. Despite promises that this wouldn't happen, legal analysis and evidence from families rebut the assurances that were given.



READ MORE – ProtectGoodParents.nz

DECRIMINALISE NON-ABUSIVE SMACKING: 2009



Just over a week after 87% of New Zealanders voted overwhelmingly in a referendum to change the anti-smacking law, politicians had the opportunity to support a bill that would have decriminalised light and non-abusive smacking for

the purpose of correction. This would have removed the fear and uncertainty around the anti-smacking law. This proposed amendment to the law was virtually identical to that aggressively lobbied for by the National party during the 2007 debate (see *above*). The bill was defeated at 1st Reading, and all National MPs voted against it.



OTHER SOCIAL ISSUES

DECRIMINALISING PROSTITUTION: 2003




Prostitution was made legal in New Zealand in 2003. The new law enabled small brothels to operate in residential areas next to family homes, and failed to protect communities and families from the effects of street prostitution. It also failed to deliver on the stated aim of the law, which was to significantly improve the safety, health and welfare of prostitutes. What it *has* achieved is an improvement in working conditions for pimps and brothel owners.

Ultimately, the new law legalised the sexual exploitation of vulnerable people.



BAN AUCKLAND STREET PROSTITUTION: 2015



A number of communities around New Zealand have been trying to deal with the problems created by street prostitution: intimidation, noise, litter, and criminal behaviour. The 2003 prostitution law (see *above*) failed to give local councils the

ability to deal with the nuisance and harm caused to both prostitutes (many of whom are under-age) and families. This bill, which was defeated, would have enabled prostitutes to be moved out of residential and family shopping areas.



RAISE DRINKING (PURCHASE) AGE: 2012



New medical evidence on accident probability, disease and brain development, along with the Child and Youth Mortality Review and the recommendations of the Prime Minister's chief science adviser, made it absolutely clear that delaying the age at which young people have easy access to alcohol would reduce the level of damage they and society suffer as well as contributing to their future health and well-being. An increase in the drinking purchase age would undo some of the harm which resulted from the previous lowering of the age. The proposal was defeated. (This is just one of the 5+ Solutions for reducing alcohol harm which Family First supports.)



EASTER TRADING: 2016



After a number of attempts to change the law, the Shop Trading Hours Amendment Act was passed in 2016, allowing trading on Easter Sunday (at the discretion of local councils). Based on the arguments used by its proponents, Good Friday, Anzac Day and Christmas Day will soon be targeted.



Want more copies of this voter guide?

ORDER NOW

>>go to: bit.ly/valueyourvote

NO COST. But a donation is optional (and appreciated)

Where do party leaders stand on key family issues?



JACINDA ARDERN
LABOUR



WINSTON PETERS
NZ FIRST



JAMES SHAW
GREENS



JUDITH COLLINS
NATIONAL



DAVID SEYMOUR
ACT



LEIGHTON BAKER
NEW CONSERVATIVES

| Go to ValueYourVote.nz for any additional comments made by the leaders | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| MARRIAGE | | | | | | |
| Define marriage as one man and one woman | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Freedom of conscience for belief in traditional marriage | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE * | DIDN'T VOTE | SUPPORT |
| Redefine marriage to allow polygamy, group marriage | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | NO POSITION | OPPOSE |
| Policies promoting marriage | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | NEUTRAL | NO RESPONSE | NO POSITION | SUPPORT |
| Same-sex adoption by non-biologically related adults | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT * | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| ABORTION | | | | | | |
| Decriminalisation of abortion | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Ban on sex selection abortions | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| Foetal pain provision for late term abortions | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | DIDN'T VOTE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| Ban on disability discrimination abortions | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| Late term abortions only for exceptional circumstances | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | DIDN'T VOTE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| Born-alive law (care of children who survive abortion) | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | DIDN'T VOTE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| Full conscientious objection for health practitioners | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | DIDN'T VOTE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| ASSISTED SUICIDE / EUTHANASIA | | | | | | |
| Decriminalisation of euthanasia / assisted suicide | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT ¹ | SUPPORT | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Full freedom of conscience for medical professionals | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| 1-week cooling off period | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| ANTI-SMACKING LAW / REFERENDUMS | | | | | | |
| Decriminalise non-abusive smacking | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Independent Oranga Tamariki (CYF) Complaints Authority | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Binding Citizens' Initiated Referendums | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | NO POSITION | SUPPORT |
| PARENTING & FAMILY | | | | | | |
| Parental notification for teen pregnancies | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT |
| Expert Panel to investigate harms of pornography | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| GENDER 'IDENTITY' | | | | | | |
| Gender 'identity' is separate from biological sex | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT | NO RESPONSE | NO POSITION | OPPOSE |
| Counselling ban for youth with gender confusion ⁴ | SUPPORT * | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| DRUGS | | | | | | |
| Legalisation of cannabis for recreational use | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE |
| De facto decriminalisation of ALL drugs | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT ² | SUPPORT | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE |
| Medicinal marijuana (Government bill which incl smoking) | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE ³ | DIDN'T VOTE | SUPPORT |
| PROSTITUTION | | | | | | |
| Repeal of Prostitution law - prosecute buyer | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Ban on brothels in residential areas | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | NO POSITION | SUPPORT |
| Ban on street prostitution | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| ALCOHOL | | | | | | |
| Raise drinking and purchase age to 20 | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Alcohol law reform (5+ Solution) ⁵ | NO RESPONSE | PARTIAL SUPPORT | PARTIAL SUPPORT | NO RESPONSE | PARTIAL SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| TAXATION OF FAMILIES | | | | | | |
| Income splitting for parents | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | PARTIAL SUPPORT | SUPPORT * | NO POSITION | SUPPORT |
| Paid parental leave (6 months) | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| OTHER ISSUES | | | | | | |
| Three Strikes' legislation (based on current position) | OPPOSE * | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Easter Sunday Trading | OPPOSE * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |

1. Supported on the basis of also holding a public referendum
2. NZ First supported this bill, but did force Labour / Greens to accept an amendment which helped maintain the ability for legal sanction - rather than full decriminalisation as intended by Labour / Greens
3. National support medicinal marijuana, but opposed this bill because "smoking is not medicine", a concern that Family First shares.
4. A counselling ban for youth with gender confusion (aka "conversion therapy") is a ban on any attempt by parents or counsellors to reduce gender dysphoria by helping the child become comfortable with their biological sex. These bans are really about locking children into transgenderism.
5. Raise price, raise purchase age, reduce accessibility, reduce advertising & sponsorship, target drink-driving (plus increase treatment availability).

* Based on public statements or votes
 "Didn't vote" = was a current MP but either abstained or didn't show up for the vote
 Labour leader **Jacinda Ardern** refused to complete our questionnaire. Where possible, we have recorded a response based on her voting record and/or public statements. We surveyed co-leader **Marama Davidson** whose responses were identical to **James Shaw**. The **Māori Party** also refused to respond to our questionnaire.
 The **New Conservatives** were surveyed because a significant number of their policies align with the positions of Family First NZ, and they are currently appearing in the political polls. This in no way should be taken as an endorsement of the party as a whole.
 # Since the new appointment of Judith Collins as leader of the National Party, we have sent our questionnaire for her to complete. At time of reprinting this Guide, we had not received a response. Check our website for updates.

How did MPs vote on the key family issues?

We have only included MPs seeking re-election. Refer to pages 3-4 for explanations of these issue headings.

Correct at the time of printing

| | SURNAME | FIRST NAME | ELECTORATE | MARRIAGE | | | EUTHANASIA | | | | DRUGS | | | |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | SAME-SEX MARRIAGE 2013 | MARRIAGE CONSCIENCE 2013 | DEFINITION OF MARRIAGE 2005 | EUTHANASIA 2019 | Conscientious Objection | Cooling Off | EUTHANASIA 2003 | CANNABIS REFERENDUM | DECRIM ALL DRUGS | MEDICINAL (GREENS) 2018 | MEDICINAL (GOVT) 2018 |
| Green | Davidson | Marama | #TAMAKI MAKARAUAU | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Genter | Julie Anne | #LIST ONLY | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Ghahraman | Golriz | #MT ROSKILL | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Logie | Jan | #MANA | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Sage | Eugenie | #BANKS PENINSULA | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Shaw | James | #WELLINGTON CENTRAL | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Swarbrick | Chloe | #AUCKLAND CENTRAL | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Labour | Allan | Kiri | #EAST COAST | | | | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Andersen | Virginia | #HUTT SOUTH | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Ardern | Jacinda | MT ALBERT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Clark | David | DUNEDIN | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Coffey | Tamati | WAIARIKI | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Craig | Liz | #INVERCARGILL | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Davis | Kelvin | TE TAI TOKERAU | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Eagle | Paul | RONGOTAI | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Fafoi | Kris | #LIST | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Henare | Peeni | TAMAKI MAKARAUAU | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Hipkins | Chris | RE Mutaka | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Huo | Raymond | #LIST | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Jackson | Willie | #LIST | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Kanongata'a-Suisiuki | Anahila | #PAPAKURA | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Lees-Galloway | Iain | PALMERSTON NORTH | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Little | Andrew | #LIST | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Lubeck | Marja | #KAIPARA KI MAHURANGI | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Luxton | Jo | #RANGITATA | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | McAnulty | Kieran | #WAIARAPA | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Mahuta | Nanaia | HAURAKI-WAIKATO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Mallard | Trevor | #LIST | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Nash | Stuart | NAPIER | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | O'Connor | Damien | WEST COAST-TASMAN | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | O'Connor | Greg | OHARIU | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Parker | David | #EPSOM | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Prime | Willow-Jean | #NORTHLAND | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Radhakrishnan | Priyanka | #MAUNGAKIEKIE | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Robertson | Grant | WELLINGTON CENTRAL | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Rurawhe | Adrian | TE TAI HAUĀURU | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Russell | Deborah | NEW LYNN | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Salesa | Jenny | PANMURE-ŌTĀHUHU | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Sepuloni | Carmel | KELSTON | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Sio | Aupito William | MĀNGERE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | NO | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Strange | Jamie | #HAMILTON EAST | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Tinetti | Jan | #TAURANGA | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Tirikatene | Rino | TE TAI TONGA | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Twyford | Phil | TE ATATŪ | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Wall | Louisa | #LIST | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Warren-Clark | Angie | #BAY OF PLENTY | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Webb | Duncan | CHRISTCHURCH CENTRAL | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Whaitiri | Meka | IKAROA-RĀWHITI | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Williams | Poto | CHRISTCHURCH EAST | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| | Wood | Michael | MT ROSKILL | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | NO VOTE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Woods | Megan | WIGRAM | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| | Ross | Jami-Lee | BOTANY | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| | Seymour | David | EPSOM | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES * | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | NO VOTE |

#MPs that are List MPs are standing in the electorate indicated

*Based on public statements

| SURNAME | ABORTION 2020 (explanation of these specific issue headings, see pages 18-19) | | | | | | | PARENTING | | | | OTHER | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | ABORTION LEGALISATION 2020 | Born Alive Provisions | Sex Selection Ban | Disability Discrimination Ban | Foetal Pain Provision | Late Term Limits | Conscience Provisions | PARENTAL NOTIFICATION 2020 | PARENTAL NOTIFICATION 2004 | ANTI-SMACKING LAW 2007 | DECriminalISE LIGHT SMACKING 2009 | DECIM'N OF PROSTITUTION 2003 | BAN STREET PROSTITUTION 2015 | RAISE ALCOHOL PURCHASE AGE 2012 | EASTER TRADING 2016 |
| Davidson | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | OPPOSE |
| Genter | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Ghahraman | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Logie | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Sage | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Shaw | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Swarbrick | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Allan | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Andersen | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Ardern | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Clark | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Coffey | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Craig | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Davis | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Eagle | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Faafai | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Henare | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Hipkins | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Huo | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | |
| Jackson | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Kanongata'a... | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Lees-Galloway | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Little | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Lubeck | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Luxton | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| McAnulty | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Mahuta | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Mallard | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Nash | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| O'Connor | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| O'Connor | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Parker | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Prime | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Radhakrishnan | SUPPORT | NO VOTE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Robertson | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Rurawhe | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Russell | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Salesa | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Sepuloni | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Sio | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Strange | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Tinetti | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Tirikatene | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Twyford | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Wall | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Warren-Clark | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Webb | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Whaitiri | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Williams | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Wood | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Woods | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Ross | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Seymour | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |

How did MPs vote on the key family issues?

We have only included MPs seeking re-election. Refer to pages 3-4 for explanations of these issue headings.

Correct at the time of printing

| | | | MARRIAGE | | | EUTHANASIA | | | | DRUGS | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| SURNAME | FIRST NAME | ELECTORATE | SAME-SEX MARRIAGE 2013 | MARRIAGE CONSCIENCE 2013 | DEFINITION OF MARRIAGE 2005 | EUTHANASIA 2019 | Conscientious Objection | Cooling Off | EUTHANASIA 2003 | CANNABIS REFERENDUM | DECRIM ALL DRUGS | MEDICINAL (GREENS) 2018 | MEDICINAL (GOVT) 2018 |
| Bakshi | Kanwaljit Singh | # PANMURE-ŌTĀHUHU | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Bayly | Andrew | PORT WAIKATO | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Bennett | David | HAMILTON EAST | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Bidois | Dan | NORTHCOTE | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Bishop | Chris | HUTT SOUTH | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Bridges | Simon | TAURANGA | OPPOSE | NO VOTE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Brown | Simeon | PAKURANGA | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Brownlee | Gerry | ILAM | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Collins | Judith | PAPAKURA | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Dean | Jacqui | WAITAKI | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Doocey | Matt | WAIMAKARIRI | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Falloon | Andrew | RANGITATA | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Garcia | Paulo | # LIST | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Goldsmith | Paul | # EPSOM | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Hayes | Joanne | # MANA | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Hipango | Harete | WHANGANUI | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Hudson | Brett | # ŌHĀRIU | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| King | Matt | NORTHLAND | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Kuriger | Barbara | TARANAKI-KING COUNTRY | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Lee | Denise | MAUNGAKIEKIE | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Lee | Melissa | # MT ALBERT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Loheni | Agnes | # MĀNGERE | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Macindoe | Tim | HAMILTON WEST | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| McClay | Todd | ROTORUA | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| McKelvie | Ian | RANGITĪKEI | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Mitchell | Mark | WHANGAPARĀOA | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Muller | Todd | BAY OF PLENTY | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Ngaro | Alfred | # TE ATATU | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| O'Connor | Simon | TĀMAKI | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Parmar | Parmjeet | # MT ROSKILL | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Penk | Chris | KAIPARA KI MAHURANGI | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Pugh | Maureen | # WEST COAST-TASMAN | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Reti | Shane | WHANGĀREI | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Simpson | Scott | COROMANDEL | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Smith | Nick | NELSON | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Smith | Stuart | KAIKŌURA | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | YES* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Stanford | Erica | EAST COAST BAYS | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Upston | Louise | TAUPO | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| van de Molen | Timothy | WAIKATO | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO RESPONSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Willis | Nicola | # WELLINGTON CENTRAL | | | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE |
| Woodhouse | Michael | # DUNEDIN | OPPOSE | NO VOTE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO* | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Young | Jonathan | NEW PLYMOUTH | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Yule | Lawrence | TUKITUKI | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | NO | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE |
| Ball | Darroch | # PALMERSTON NORTH | | | | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Jones | Shane | # NORTHLAND | | | | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Marcroft | Jennifer | # TĀMAKI | | | | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | UNDECIDED | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Mark | Ron | # WAIRARAPA | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Martin | Tracey | # ŌHĀRIU | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Patterson | Mark | # TAIERI | | | | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO* | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Peters | Winston | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | NO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Tabuteau | Fletcher | # ROTORUA | | | | SUPPORT * | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | NO | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |

#MPs that are List MPs are standing in the electorate indicated

*Based on public statements

= Consistent with Family First position
 = Not consistent with Family First position
 = Not in Parliament


| | ABORTION 2020 (explanation of these specific issue headings, see pages 18-19) | | | | | | | PARENTING | | | | OTHER | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| SURNAME | ABORTION LEGALISATION 2020 | Born Alive Provisions | Sex Selection Ban | Disability Discrimination Ban | Foetal Pain Provision | Late Term Limits | Conscience Provisions | PARENTAL NOTIFICATION 2020 | PARENTAL NOTIFICATION 2004 | ANTI-SMACKING LAW 2007 | DECriminalISE LIGHT SMACKING 2009 | DECrim'N OF PROSTITUTION 2003 | BAN STREET PROSTITUTION 2015 | RAISE ALCOHOL PURCHASE AGE 2012 | EASTER TRADING 2016 |
| Bakshi | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Bayly | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Bennett | SUPPORT | NO VOTE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Bidois | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Bishop | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | didn't vote | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Bridges | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Brown | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Brownlee | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Collins | SUPPORT | NO VOTE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | NO VOTE | NO VOTE | NO VOTE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Dean | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Doocey | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Falloon | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Garcia | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Goldsmith | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Hayes | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Hipango | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Hudson | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| King | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Kuriger | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Lee | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Lee | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Loheni | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Macindoe | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| McClay | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| McKelvie | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Mitchell | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Muller | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Ngaro | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| O'Connor | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Parmar | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Penk | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Pugh | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Reti | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Simpson | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Smith | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Smith | didn't vote | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | didn't vote | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | OPPOSE | | SUPPORT |
| Stanford | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Upston | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| van de Molen | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Willis | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Woodhouse | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT |
| Young | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT |
| Yule | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| Ball | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE |
| Jones | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Marcroft | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Mark | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE |
| Martin | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Patterson | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | | | |
| Peters | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | OPPOSE | | OPPOSE | SUPPORT | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
| Tabuteau | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | OPPOSE | | | | | SUPPORT | | OPPOSE |

Every attempt has been made to accurately represent the voting record of MPs. We welcome any documented corrections.

20個在2020年反對大麻合法化的原因

問題：

您支持大麻合法化及控制議案嗎？不支持。



請注意：「由2020年4月1日開始生效的醫用大麻計劃，目的在於令人更容易取得藥用大麻產品。藥用大麻並不包括在是次公投中的建議法例中。」
- 紐西蘭政府公投網站

1 效力 - 今天的大麻已不再一

樣，是一種更猛烈的藥



當大麻支持者提及大麻，他們指的再不是從前「Woodstock大麻草」年代那種四氫大麻酚含量只有百份之二的大麻草。效力 - 在過去數十年，大麻草內的四氫大麻酚(大麻內會對精神狀況產生作用的化學物)含量一直平穩上升，這增加了進食、吸入或塗抹大麻的風險。2017年，在美國科羅拉多州

所化驗的大麻花中，驗到的四氫大麻酚含量平均達到百份之19.6，而在提煉的濃縮產品中，含量更達到百份之68.6。現在這些產品的效力百份率可達百份之99.9。大麻曾經只是一種植物，但今天已不再一樣。從根本，它已成為一種完全不同、效力猛烈的藥物。

這不再是您父母從前吸食過的大麻煙了。

2 我們曾經被騙

記得那些大型煙草商嗎？有關吸煙對健康的危害，他們欺騙了紐西蘭人以至全世界超過一個世紀。他們令大眾對煙草上癮。他們故意以小童作宣傳目標。他們更請了醫生宣傳煙草是一種藥品。今天這些都令我們付上代價。有關大麻的討論，今天同樣被大型大麻草商主導。他們否認有根據的科學，只會把重點放在巨大的稅務收益所帶來的經濟好處，卻忽視大麻的禍害。然而，人的健康比經濟利益更重要。最終，大麻商不會關心您家庭的

健康。他們只關心賺大錢。

3 大麻不止是被人吸食那麼簡單



大麻除了可以被用作製作煙草吸食(與此同時我們還以2025年可以禁絕所有煙草為目標)，還可造成各種各樣的大麻產品(例如用在食物、濃縮劑、注射劑、染料、潤膚膏及牛油中)在市場中大量推銷。人們可以吸食、進食、以電子煙吸入及塗抹這些產品。這些大麻產品很多都容易運送，又容易收藏或偽裝成其他物品，對小童來說尤其吸引。

4 大麻會令人上癮，而且有害

雖然大麻業界極力否認，但差不多所有科學研究(包括2016年世界衛生組織的報告以及2017年美國國家科學院的研究)都指出，大麻會令人上癮，並且對人體有害。科學家曾經指出，使用大麻的頻率及四氫大麻酚的高含量，與很多精神健康問題(思覺失調、抑鬱、焦慮、自殺傾向、腦部物質重整以及上癮)有直接關係。有研究亦顯示，吸食大麻會破壞肺部和造成嚴重的心血管疾病(高血壓、心肌梗塞、心肌病變、心律不正、中風和心臟病發)。科學界已經確認，青少年長期使用大麻，與認知能力受損以及在應付工作或學業的能力倒退有關。

5 他們稱之為「大麻管制？」

在大麻合法化的法案中，有以下條款：

可以購買及擁有的大麻煙草數量限額為每人40束…每天！



VOTE NO – SayNopeToDope.nz

人們可以在家中種植及使用大麻，所以小童會有直接接觸到大麻的危險。紐西蘭的目標是「無煙2025」- 但卻容許在家中吸食大麻，而且可以與家中其他人「分享」。

在食物及塗抹膏中添加大麻是允許的。含大麻的食物以青少年為目標，並誘使本來不會吸食大麻的人接觸到它。而含大麻的塗抹膏，或是可吸入的氣化大麻，則比以植物為本的大麻含有多達百份之70的四氫大麻酚。

當含量是有限制的(在建議的法律上是每朵百份之十五)，這會令大麻黑市及黑幫按用家的需要提供高含量四氫大麻酚的大麻產品。

法案中也沒有提及相關的道路安全措施、工作場所安全及對孕婦的健康風險。

[教育]或[預防]等字眼,只是在防止使用時受損害或濫用的情況下提及, 並且[教育]一詞也只針對有害大麻使用或提倡負責任地使用的情況下提及, 而「無毒品」的概念卻被忽略了。

這真的是「管制」嗎？

每人每天40束大麻草！

6 大麻合法化與鼓吹健康是矛盾的

鼓勵使用大麻會令控煙及「好好照顧您的精神健康」等訊息變得蒼白無力。試想像，我們可以告訴人們可以每天吸食30-40束大麻草，但同時又宣傳國家以「無煙2025」為目標嗎？我們已知使用大麻會危害精神健康，我們如何可以容許大麻合法化，同時又希望可以減低全國自殺率？當中的矛盾其實顯而易見。

大麻草商最希望看見的，就是人們對大麻上癮。

7 我們的兒童需要的是希望，並不是大麻

基督城健康與發展研究(CHDS)發現，使用大麻會增加一些風險，包括較高的輟學機會、隨後的失業、對福利的依賴、增加患精神病的風險、患上嚴重抑鬱、增加車禍的風

險、使用煙草、使用違禁藥物、及患上呼吸道疾病等。青少年在十七歲前開始每天吸食大麻而自殺的機率增加七倍；恆常或頻繁使用大麻會增加使用其他違禁藥物的風險、亦會令人更放縱地使用及依賴違禁藥物，並會使用更多不同種類的違禁藥物。青少年將會更加被吸引使用其他新的吸食方法，如利用煙霧吸食及直接食用。



8 維持不合法狀態可以有效阻止大麻使用



雖然有一些人會被非法使用大麻所誘惑，但大部份人都應該不喜歡參與犯罪活動或與毒品交易商接觸。法律及明確的訊息是最有效的，譬如說，反吸煙運動的最終目的並非「減少」、「適量」吸煙，而是要人完全放棄吸煙，亦沿此方向認清可以達成目標的具體行動，包括不同策略及支援組織的從旁輔助。而過去的數字壓倒性地證明此為最有效方法。

9 這是一場不單止針對毒品的戰爭、而是我們腦袋的防衛戰

在一百多年前首次被傳媒使用後，「針對毒品的戰爭」此口號已過時，亦未能貼切地形容我們該如何正確地指



出毒品的禍害及後果。我們應支持一個平衡公眾健康及安全的毒品管理方法，這些方法亦應基於科學及證據，而並不是由毒品推廣者所引導。若針對毒品的戰爭失敗，那麼其他針對盜竊、交通超速、詐騙、強姦、謀殺、縱火及違例迫車的「戰爭」又是否算得上失敗？事實上，很少這些所謂的「戰爭」是可以獲取勝利的。就如哈佛醫學院精神病學教授Bertha K. Madras所言：「這是一場不單止針對毒品的戰爭，而是我們腦袋的防衛戰 -- 我們的腦袋正是人性的貯藏寶庫。」

VOTE NO – Time to be wise

10 沒有人因為吸食大麻而被關在監倉

其中一個關於推動大麻合法的論點，是基於大麻使用者將令監倉爆滿的虛構說法。事實上，在過去三年只有16人因藏有大麻而被判坐監或居家令，而這些判決大多與他們過去的犯罪紀錄相關。世界各地的研究發現，大部份與毒品使用而被判囚的案件，主要是因為罪犯在犯案時曾吸食毒品（這些案件包括謀殺、持械行劫、盜竊、襲擊、虐兒等）、或因獲取毒品而犯案。我們應優先關注公眾安全及健康。

11 懷孕婦女及胎中嬰孩均需承受風險

大麻合法化將令人關注孕婦使用此藥物所帶來的後果，亦令更多初生嬰孩未能通過藥物測試。不少醫生已作出警告，大麻使用會降低嬰兒出生率，並導致各種發展問題。一項針對五十萬名孕婦的研究發現，使用大麻的孕婦於2002至2017年期間已增加了一倍，於懷孕頭三個月使用的情況更為普及。這些情況與大麻使用逐漸廣為接受、並大眾持續忽略與大麻相關禍害不無關係。

12 使用大麻與虐兒及家庭暴力之關聯



某部份大麻使用者會患上精神病，亦可能變得較為暴力。2018年一項於美國俄亥俄州及田納西州等大學進行的研究發現，大麻的使用與精神暴力、肢體暴力及親密伴侶之間的性暴力相關；另一項在2001年於美國佛羅里達州進行的研究亦發現，正值青春期的頻繁使用大麻的年輕人士，成為家庭暴力受害者的機會增加一倍以上。美國德州於2018年亦發現，大麻是最常引致虐兒，或忽略照顧致死的藥物，此與阿利桑那州於2017的研究所得不謀而合。

一個貌似悠閒及很酷的吸食大麻人士可具誤導性

13 現實是... 大麻合法化將增加使用量

有些主張大麻使用的人士認為，大麻合法化將不會導致吸食者的使用量增加，但這與現實情況相距甚遠。與一些沒有將大麻合法化的美國州份比較，已將大麻合法化的州份的青年人（18至25歲）在過去一個月有更高的大麻使用比例；而在所有已將優閒使用大麻合法化的行政區域內，當中介乎12-17歲的青少年人在過去一個月吸食大麻的比例，亦持續高於整個國家的平均水平。

美國大學生使用大麻的比例創35年新高

14 在工作環境吸食大麻將影響所有在職人士的安全



在美國，大麻成為工商界各主要行業最所見的吸食物品；眾多研究均發現，大麻使用者對工作的投入水平明顯低於沒有吸食大麻的員工，他們的缺勤情況亦更為嚴重。若紐西蘭將吸食

大麻合法化，會否為各行各業（無論在缺勤、工作意外、醫保、額外工作環境的培訓及勞工保險等）帶來額外的成本？毒品在職場上始終是百害而無一利。

一個安全、健康及無毒品的工作環境對所有人都是有利的

15 吸食大麻駕駛者將為公眾帶來風險

享有道路安全的大眾權益應高於吸食大麻的權益；大家應不會希望吸食THC後駕駛的人士，在路上危害其他駕駛者及其家人的安全。自優閒使用大麻合法化後，在美國科羅拉多州及華盛頓州與吸食大麻相關的致死車禍，分別增加151%及一倍；在加拿大，年齡介乎18-34歲的駕駛者或其車中乘客，當中約有四份之一曾在駕駛前吸食大麻，而另一項在科羅拉多州於2018年進行的調查發現，69%的大麻吸食者在過去一年曾在大麻的影響下駕

For an online version of this pamphlet, go to SayNopeToDope.nz

駛，當中27%更差不多每天在吸食大麻影響下駕駛。一個在紐西蘭進行的研究指出，慣性吸食大麻的駕駛者比起間中使用或沒有使用的駕駛者，遇上車禍引致受傷或死亡的機率高出十倍之多。

16 社會不公義



主張大麻合法化的人士會認為此舉會令社會變得更公義。但從美國的經驗看來，若社會制度已存在不公義及種族歧視，大麻合法化非但不能解決這些問題的根源，在弱勢社

群中因增加毒品使用及其相關帶來的負面社會影響下，將令這些問題更為嚴重。在美國一些合法使用大麻的州份，毒品使用及刑事犯罪率在不同種族及收入群的差距持續顯著；在這些州份，與白人族群相比，明顯地有更多少數族裔的青年人吸食大麻。同樣地，跟賣酒店舖及角子機分佈點針對少數族裔及低收入人士的情況相似，紐西蘭的大型大麻草商亦主要針對這些人士作其消費群體。墨爾本大學的研究亦發現，每天吸食大麻會顯著增加一個人成為露宿者的機會。

17 龐大稅收的承諾被嚴重誇大

支持使用大麻的人士及大麻製造業界急於高估大麻銷售所帶來的龐大稅收，同時亦低估大麻合法化所帶來的社會成本。被支持使用大麻的人士忽視或低估的社會成本包括：增加其他毒品使用、未成年學生增加使用大麻、住宅及其他經濟的損害、難以控制擴充的黑市交易、公眾受毒害及車禍而致的死亡、及其他經濟上的沉重負擔。跟酒類管制一樣，大麻合法化亦會增加行政及執法成本。從過去管制酒類及煙草的經驗上，已可預知大麻銷售所得稅收將遠低於社會要承受的成本及代價。

18 大麻合法化為地球環境帶來負面影響

大眾現在才開始意識到大麻工業為自然環境帶來各方面的負面影響，而這些影響的認知主要來自在「受控環境」下搜集的數據；事實上，種植大麻場地需要大量的水電，

因而會對環境帶來破壞。種植大麻所需的能源比開採石油及煤高出四倍；在美國一些大麻合法使用的州份，由於其黑市買賣並沒有減退跡象，令非法種植大麻不單沒有停止，並因而導致官地被濫用及毀壞。一項近期在美國聖地牙哥進行的調查發現，購自南加州一些擁有牌照的分銷商的大麻樣本，當中接近三成均驗出農藥。



19 黑市交易 (及黑幫的參與)

將會持續

在眾多已通過大麻合法買賣的地方，已有很多證據證明通過合法化以杜絕黑市買賣的說法

甚具誤導性。在加拿大，只有29% 大麻使用者會透過合法途徑購買大麻產品；有組織犯罪集團及黑幫亦因應政治及經濟環境的轉變而改變營運模式，因其主要目的不是要故意犯法，反之只想針對人性弱點而謀取利益。大麻合法化未必會令犯罪活動減少，但若嚴格管制大麻使用，卻將使用者推向黑市以獲取較便宜及更強效力的產品，並在社區內為這些黑市交易提供「合法」的掩護。



20 大麻合法化只是一個開始



在世界各地的藥物倡導者不單要令大麻合法化，並希望擴展到其他毒品如可卡因、海洛英及冰毒等；藥物組織甚至希望將所有毒品的使用

非刑事化。將大麻合法化不單是問題的終結，更只是一個開始。

前首相Helen Clark領導的一個聯合國組織 (GCDP) 希望終止刑事化使用所有毒品

Want more copies? To order more for your group...

>>email: admin@saynopetodope.org.nz

Ph: 09 261 2426

NO COST. But a donation to the VOTE NO campaign is optional (and appreciated)

ORDER NOW

VOTE NO – SayNopeToDope.nz

2020年投票反對安樂死合法化的 20個理由

QUESTION:

Do you support the
End of Life Choice Act
2019 coming into force?

YES

NO



1 我們已有「選擇」權

任何人有權拒絕接受醫療程序治療, 即使會導致他/她死亡。《1990年新西蘭權利法案》第11條「人人有權拒絕接受任何醫療程序治療」, 這包括「拒絕心肺復甦術」指令, 但拒絕接受醫療程序治療, 不等同於安樂死。



在這爭論中, 最重要是理解當中的專門用語。其實大多數人, 只是想確保舒緩痛楚, 和拒絕接受繁重治療, 而不被視為非法, 但其實本來已經如此。現今的法律

或道德標準, 並沒有要求病人或受傷的人必須「不惜一切代價」去維持生命。在法律上, 「容許」撤除維生醫療以致病人自然死亡, 與「故意」導致病人死亡, 兩者有着清楚和明確的界線。

安樂死/協助自殺不等同於:

停止維持生命儀器

終止無效測試、治療和手術

簽署拒絕心肺復甦術同意書

如果病人負擔太大, 停止供應食物與水

接受所需的藥物來治療疼痛和其他症狀

安樂死/協助自殺等同於:

注射致命劑量的藥物

接受及服下致命劑量的藥物

專門用語

安樂死 是根據病人要求, 故意、有意識並直接導致病人死亡, 如果「由死者以外的其他人」執行最後的動作, 這就是安樂死。

協助自殺 是指「由死者」執行最後的動作。

醫生輔助自殺 是指由醫生提供致命藥物。

輔助死亡 也是指安樂死和協助自殺。

[《生命終結選擇法案2019》(End of Life Choice Act 2019)允許安樂死和協助自殺, 亦即允許執業醫生和護士提供或注射致死劑量的藥物。]

舒緩治療 是指主動為無法治癒的病人提供全面治療, 目標是改善生活質素, 控制疼痛和症狀, 使病人能夠活在當下。(新西蘭善寧會Hospice NZ)

2 將會出現濫用

對於絕症病人和患有無法醫治病症的人, 他們通常都很脆弱。但並非所有受影響的患者家屬, 都有絕對無私的愛心。他們可能會強迫病者接受安樂死, 從而儘早繼承遺產或逃避照顧病者的「重擔」。一項海外研究發現, 在比利時弗拉芒地區, 有三分之一的安樂死, 是在沒有明確要求的情況下完成。加上在容許安樂死的國家之中, 舉報濫用安樂死的法例還未完全制定。因此, 濫用安樂死的風險不容忽視。

《生命終結選擇法案2019》至今仍是嚴重不妥當, 法律門檻極低, 因為法案僅要求醫生「盡力而為」去確保當事人不受壓力, 而草案本身並無概述任何程序去確保病者沒有遭受壓迫。正如新西蘭醫學會(NZMA)在提交給司法專責委員會(Justice Select Committee)的文件中指出, 「草案的條文, 未能確保所有尋求輔助死亡的決定, 是否出自自由意願, 而並非在取巧威迫之下作出。」再者, 受益人亦可以代表病者簽署安樂死申請。[大多數投反對票的議員都關注這方面所欠缺的保障。]

For an online version of this pamphlet (including references
& additional information) go to PROTECT.ORG.NZ



3 醫生預測和診斷可能會出錯

診斷和預測是根據機會率，而並非必定會發生。有些人會認為自己患上某種病，而尋求安樂死。但事實上，卻沒有患這病。我們投票的草案是依據診斷，絕症患者只要一經被診斷為「有可能」少於六個月壽命，便符合申請條件。事實上已經有很多例子，證明他們能活到超過預後的存活日，有時長達數月，甚至數年。有一項關於醫生估計絕症患者的預後的研究指出，只有20%的預測是準確。英國醫學雜誌(British Medical Journal) 2012年發表的一篇論文又指出，28%驗屍報告中有至少一個誤診。

4 滑坡謬誤

有具體證據顯示，在允許安樂死的國家中，安樂死的可用性和應用範圍，已擴大至最初無法預料到的情況。當一項新許可活動被描述為「人權」時，外國的經驗是，這「權利」必然會被推動，而擴展至影響更多的人，包括長期病患者、殘疾人士、精神病患者、對生命厭倦的人或甚至是兒童。



5 「死亡權利」變成「死亡責任」



事實上，絕症患者往往很脆弱，容易受到家人、照顧者和醫療人員直接或間接的壓力、以及自我壓力的影響。他們可能會認為，安樂死是「正確的做法」，自己一生已經是「美滿而長壽」，不想成為至近至親的「負擔」。即使在最佳情況下，根本無法得知是否存在微妙的情感壓迫，公然的強迫更是不在話下。



6 增加虐待老年人的風險

在新西蘭，虐待老年人已經是嚴重的問題，約有八成是隱藏和未報告。受照顧的長者被脅迫而接受協助自殺/安樂死的可能性，我們實在不容忽視。年老的病人都意識到，老人院和老人護理費用日益昂貴，蠶食了子女日後的遺產。最可悲是一些無良冷酷後代只顧遺產不顧惜生命，虐待老年人風險大大提升。

7 「協助」自殺會推動自殺



正如21位精神科從業員和學者，最近在俄勒岡州、比利時和荷蘭用統計反駁，證明當輔助死亡人數上升，全國自殺率亦相對提升。這可能是，因為這樣做使所有人加深了可以以自殺來

回避苦難的意念。法案的支持者，被質疑沒有方法去證明，協助自殺合法化不會令整體自殺率提升。他們當然沒有方法，因為根本不可能有。社會一方面提供自殺協助，即是安樂死，然而，在另一方面又防止個人自殺。鑑於我們的自殺風氣盛行，推動安樂死實在是太冒險，應該以明智和關愛的方法取而代之。

DID YOU KNOW? Of the 39,159 submissions made to the Select Committee considering the proposed law, almost 92% were opposed to the bill, including 93.5% of submissions received from doctors, nurses and other health care staff.

How many euthanasia 'mistakes' are we willing to accept?

FAMILY
FIRST
NEW ZEALAND

8 抑鬱可影響決定

當人面對死亡，或與不可逆轉和使人衰退的病搏鬥之時，幾乎所有病人都會有抑鬱的情況。事實上，很多有抑鬱症的病人要求到海外安樂死，最終被撤銷了，這是由於他們的抑鬱和痛症得到妥善處理。相反，如果允許協助自殺/安樂死，許多原本能衝破黑暗難關而繼續尋找生命意義的病人，卻因此而過早死亡。

9 協助自殺貶低殘疾人士



提倡殘疾人士權利是正確並需要關注。新西蘭人約翰福克斯博士(Dr John Fox)，患有痙攣偏癱症，並每天承受痛苦。他說：「不要丟下我們，不要令我們更困難，更不要誘惑我們去結束生命。即使他們已經修正了法案，我們知道這樣的法例會不斷擴大直至無法控制，漏洞會捲土重來困擾我們。因此，大衛西摩(David Seymour)的條例草案是很危險的。」正如殘疾人士權利組織Not Dead Yet，描述安樂死合法化所帶來的後果是「有無數的方法可以不停地告訴殘疾人士，他們的生命是沒有價值的。」

10 價格定生死

《生命終結選擇法案》僅提供一個權利，就是做一個提早終結生命的選擇，而抹殺了相應的舒緩治療權利。這是因為良好的舒緩治療和善終服務需要大量資源，而安樂死卻比較便宜。可是，法例上的改動，會在臨終決策上引入「財政計算」的新元素。在這殘酷的現實中，可以被辯說為「房間裏的大象」。相對於弱勢社群人士，當他們得不到更好的醫療保健，便往往在沒有其他選擇的情況下，最終因為費用負擔因素感到壓力，而終結生命。

11 廣泛反對

現時，反對這法案的人包括殘疾人士、老年人、人權維護者、律師、醫生和醫護界人士。

12 醫學團體反對

幾乎世界上所有的醫學協會都作出同樣的立場聲明反對安樂死，這包括代表全球超過一千萬名醫生的世界醫學協會。新西蘭醫學會明確表示反對安樂死和醫生輔助自殺，並認為這種做法「不道德，也對個人，特別是弱勢社群及社會有害。」

生命終結選擇法案2019具體問題

即使你支持某種形式的協助自殺/安樂死法例，《生命終結選擇法案2019》絕對不是解決方法。此法案內藏重大缺陷，恐令弱勢社群和老年人面臨最大的風險。

13 無需獨立證人

在協助自殺/安樂死過程的任何階段，包括死亡之時，都不需要獨立見證人。相反，在俄勒岡州，必須由兩位見證人簽署書面申請，而當中一位必須完全獨立(不是親戚，不能夠是遺產中的受益人，不是醫療機構的員工，也不是主診醫生)。在加拿大和維多利亞州(澳洲)，則需要兩位完全獨立見證人以及統籌醫生。[其中一項修正條款是要求在死亡時有獨立見證人，大多數議員卻投票反對]。

14 無需精神行為能力

擬議法例中，並沒有提及任何精神行為能力保障。至於維多利亞州和加拿大，卻有精神上行為能力的保障。在給予致死劑量時，應先評估當事人是否有能力為自己就特定事情作決定，這才能減少不法死亡的風險。

15 無需冷靜期

在給予致命劑量之前，並沒有強制性的冷靜期，例如在俄勒岡州最少有15天冷靜期(有限情況例外)，在維多利亞州最少有9天，在加拿大最少有10天。《生命終結選擇法案2019》唯一規定的期限是由選擇死亡到處方，最少有48小時。這意味著，整個過程從申請到死亡，可在幾天內完成。[大多數議員投票反對為期一周的冷靜期。]

DID YOU KNOW?

MPs proposed 114 amendments to make the proposed law less flawed. Of those 114, just 3 were approved, including the decision to allow the referendum. Many of these proposed amendments weren't even debated. This was after members of the Select Committee, given 16 months to study the bill and hear submissions, were unable to agree that it be passed.

For an online version of this pamphlet (including references & additional information) go to PROTECT.ORG.NZ

FAMILY
FIRST
NEW ZEALAND



新西蘭醫學會提交司法專責委員會摘要指出：「我們不支持《生命終結選擇法案》。此外，我們認為法案本身有許多嚴重弊端和技術上缺陷，這反映出無法起草有關安樂死/醫生輔助自殺的法例，並無法完全有效地定義合資格人士、確保自由選擇、保護弱勢社群和確保患者作決定的能力。總括來說，無論任何形式的安樂死，都與醫學實踐的專業倫理原則相抵觸，並會改變醫生的基本角色和醫患關係。」

16 無需現有的醫患關係



這草案並沒有要求病人需要見過第一位醫生(現時的建議是在協助自殺/安樂死的過程中有兩位醫生)。因此，在沒有見面交談的情況下，醫生已可以決定病人是否有資格進行輔助死亡。然而，患者可以為了隱瞞被威迫的情況，而阻止醫生與家人談及其專業的顧慮。若醫生從未與患者見面，問題會更嚴重。病人無需要與任何其他人士，討論其協助自殺或安樂死的決定，是否合乎其意願，這正是該法案的嚴重缺陷。更可悲的是，這法案缺乏有關避免被威迫的適當保護。[多數議員卻投票決定不解決此問題。]

17 無需尋求現有治療

這法案並沒有要求病人先嘗試舒緩治療或其他治療方法，這意味著對某些人來說，安樂死成為一個並非萬不得已的時候，才使用的最後方法。[大多數議員投票反對在這方面採取適當的保障措施。]

18 不健全的問題制


漏報的安樂死是海外制度的主要問題。根據我們正在投票的法案，註冊處無需跟進遺失的死亡報告，或檢查異常情況。有別於荷蘭，這法案建議的審查制度，並不允許查明病人的背景資料和健康紀錄。即使在荷蘭，沒有正式報告的安樂死已高達四分之一。由此可見，相比起荷蘭，新西蘭更大機會有，更不健全的問題機制。

19 無劃清絕症與長期病患/殘疾的界線

擬議法例的支持者聲稱，法例不會威脅殘疾人士。可是，許多殘疾使生命受限制，並涉及可致命危的併發症。關於是否符合安樂死資格的定義，在俄勒岡州，衛生當局將原本醫生對絕症預後估計的「六個月內死亡」，放寬解釋為病人「如果不接受治療，六個月內死亡」。[已提出了適當的保障措施，但議員並沒有對此進行辯論或投票。]

20 埋沒了良心自由的權利

這法案沒有明確保障老人院和寧養院之類的機構。這些機構的哲學、倫理、或宗教傳統，可能無法提供安樂死或協助自殺服務。正如加拿大所出現的情況，在未來，新西蘭同樣可以發生。這些機構為了避免失去政府資助的壓力下，很可能會被迫在院舍內提供安樂死。[多數議員反對在這範圍採取適當的保護措施。]此外，以良心反對為理由的醫生，將來仍然有必要告知病人，有關幫助實施安樂死的政府部門。這樣做，埋沒了良心自由，並違背了他們的專業判斷和個人道德。[大多數議員反對充分良心自由條款。]



安樂死和協助自殺使許多人，包括我們，陷於危險當中。該法案完全沒有保證去致力保護弱勢社群，包括殘疾人士、老年人、抑鬱症或焦慮症患者、以及勞苦擔重擔或承受經濟壓力的人士。國際證據已支持我們這些憂慮，並解釋了為什麼只有少數國家針對此話題去更改法律。

不要讓新西蘭犯錯，請反對安樂死/協助自殺合法化。

WANT MORE COPIES?
To order for your group...

email: admin@familyfirst.org.nz Ph: 09 261 2426
NO COST. But a donation to the VOTE NO campaign is optional.

ORDER NOW!

PROTECT.org.nz

**FAMILY
FIRST**
NEW ZEALAND

THE ABORTION LEGISLATION ACT 2020

ONE OF THE MOST EXTREME ABORTION LAWS IN THE WORLD

FETAL FLAWS

ABUSE OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Taking abortion out of the criminal code and inserting it into health legislation has given the unborn baby the same status as an appendix, gall bladder or tonsils – simply ‘tissue’ removed as part of a ‘health procedure’. Anyone who has viewed the ultrasound of an unborn child will know that this is a gross abuse of human rights. It also creates inconsistency with other legislation and public health messaging which clearly recognises the rights of the unborn child. Abortion is both a health issue and a legal issue.



When does life begin?

The question we should be debating is this: at what point does the unborn child become a human being? At what point does the foetus deserve human rights and protection? Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern has so far refused to answer this question, put to her by 12 women who have experienced abortion. In an open letter to the Prime Minister, the women said that a **failure to answer the question may result in inadvertently killing human beings**, rather than merely ‘removing human tissue’.

YES, LATE-TERM ABORTIONS UP TO BIRTH ARE LEGAL

Previously, the Crimes Act allowed for an abortion after 20 weeks’ gestation *only in exceptional circumstances*. The new law means that a woman can have a late-term abortion if the abortion provider ‘reasonably believes the abortion is clinically appropriate in all the circumstances,’ having regard to the woman’s physical and mental health and wellbeing. **This is a very broad, subjective test.** The terms ‘physical health’, ‘mental health’ and ‘wellbeing’ are **not defined** by the law. The gestational age to be considered is **not defined**.

Given one of the intents of the law was to make abortion more accessible, it is difficult to imagine many instances in which an

abortion could now be refused. Justice Minister Andrew Little has admitted that late-term abortions up to birth *could* happen under the new law. Make no mistake – **the law has been drafted in such a way that an abortion can legally be obtained up until the point that a child has been fully born, for any reason** – despite what politicians may claim.

* Statistics NZ data shows that 800 late-term abortions have been performed over the last 10 years where there was no danger to the physical health or life of the mother, ie 91% of all late-term abortions were not to save the life of the mother.

[A majority of MPs voted against an amendment to allow abortions post-20 weeks only for extreme circumstances – *see the voting record on pages 7 and 9*]

NO ‘BORN ALIVE’ PROVISION

A proposed amendment to the new law *specified* that if a baby was born alive after an attempted abortion procedure, there was a duty to provide the child with appropriate medical care and treatment. Internationally, babies have survived abortion – not just for a few hours but sometimes through to adulthood – so it was vital to have an express provision in the abortion legislation to reinforce this obligation.

[A majority of MPs voted against the proposed amendment- *see the voting record on pages 7 and 9*]

BACKSTREET HOME ABORTIONS



Women may now be able to access the abortion process from home, and possibly even from school. The law has also broadened the category of people who may certify and perform abortions: “medical practitioner” has been replaced by “health practitioner”. This means that a Family Planning nurse could

prescribe abortion pills – which trigger a miscarriage – over the phone or by video (e.g. Facetime or Skype).

Previously, abortion drugs had to be taken on the premises of a licensed medical practitioner. Now, under the new law, pills may be delivered to a home by courier, meaning no supervision over who takes the pills or whether the medication is taken at the correct time. It is also much more difficult to discern if a woman

or girl is vulnerable, in an abusive or coercive relationship, and whether a reliable adult is present to care for her during what can be a painful and/or distressing process. The home abortion could be used as a way to cover up abuse more easily. **All of this will place women at increased risk.**

NO FOETAL PAIN PROVISION

A proposed amendment to the new law would have **required those performing abortion procedures post-20 weeks to ensure the foetus did not feel pain.** This would have been similar to the Animal Welfare Act, which requires vets to make sure animals don't feel pain.

[A majority of MPs voted against the proposed amendment – see *the voting record on pages 7 and 9*]



NO SUPPORT FOR WOMEN

There are no provisions in the new law to protect women and girls from being coerced into an abortion – for example, by a boyfriend or family member. There are also no provisions to ensure women have the mental-health support they need, both before and after an abortion, or that they are made fully aware of the physical and psychological risks of abortion. The law does not require that women be informed of all their options and the support available – and the Ministry of Health says women who are considering an abortion should be told that ‘abortion is safer than continuing a pregnancy.’

NO PARENTAL NOTIFICATION

The new abortion law allows schools to take girls for an abortion without parental knowledge. In fact, prior to 20 weeks, **a young girl can simply self-refer for an abortion.** In all other health contexts, a health practitioner is required to assess a child's capacity prior to a medical procedure and, where capacity is lacking, obtain the consent of a parent or guardian. Why can parents be deliberately excluded from this procedure? It is ironic that abortion supporters want abortion to be treated as a ‘health’ issue, but not when it involves a teenager.

[A majority of MPs voted against a proposed amendment to treat abortion similar to other health procedures in terms of parental involvement – see *the voting record on pages 7 and 9*]

SEX-SELECTIVE ABORTIONS

The new law does not specifically prevent sex-selective abortions. Sex selective abortion is a well-known problem in China and India, where

son-preference cultures have resulted in extremely skewed sex ratios. There is evidence that sex-selective abortion is already occurring in other countries, including Canada and Australia. It should be a crime when baby girls are aborted simply because they are girls.

[A majority of MPs voted against a proposed amendment to explicitly outlaw sex-selective abortions – see *the voting record on page 7 and 9*]

NO TIME LIMIT FOR DISABILITIES



The new law has removed the previous 20-week time limit for disability abortions. In 2017, during the election campaign, the organisation Saving Down's highlighted concerns around Jacinda Ardern's pledge to change the law, saying this would introduce abortion through to birth for babies with disabilities. In response, Jacinda


Ardern made a commitment to not increase the time limit for disability-selective abortion. In the UK, there are increasing numbers of late-term abortions for conditions such as cleft lip and club foot. [A majority of MPs voted against a proposed amendment to explicitly outlaw disability-discrimination abortions – see *the voting record on pages 7 and 9*]

LESS FREEDOM OF CONSCIENCE

The new law waters down the freedom of conscience rights for health practitioners. Those who disagree with abortion will now be required to provide information to women about abortion service providers – against their own consciences. Also of concern is the *potential* for an employer to terminate a position, or to refuse to hire someone, on the grounds of his or her conscientious objection. [A majority of MPs voted against proposed amendments to keep conscientious objection standards the same as they were under the previous law – see *the voting record on pages 7 and 9*]

PUBLIC OPPOSITION

More than 90% of public submissions opposed the bill – and almost 95% of those who also wanted to speak to the Abortion Legislation Committee were denied the opportunity. Independent polling found that only 4% of New Zealanders wanted more liberal time limits for abortion.




For an online version of this pamphlet (including references and additional information), go to

LoveThemBoth.nz

IT'S FREE!

Join the movement to promote family, marriage and the value of life in NZ.


To access these reports and to support our work, go to familyfirst.nz




Family Breakdown: 2008



Marriage: 2009




Drinking Age: 2011



Daycare: 2012




Sex Education: 2013



Euthanasia: 2014




Screentime: 2015



Gender Identity: 2015




Anti-Smacking Law: 2016



Child Poverty: 2016




Child Abuse: 2016



Abortion & Health: 2018




Why Mothers Matter: 2018



Imprisonment: 2018




Dinner & Family Life: 2018



Why Fathers Matter: 2018



Children Transitioning: 2018



Fertility: 2019



Parent Guide - Gender: 2019



Anti-Smacking Law: 2020